

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO         |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/768,196                    | 01/22/2001           | Ronald J. Lebel      | 047711-0221             | 1919                    |  |
| 7:                            | 7590 04/20/2006 EXAM |                      | INER                    |                         |  |
| TED R. RITTMASTER             |                      | DESANTO, MATTHEW F   |                         |                         |  |
| FOLEY & LARDNER<br>SUITE 3500 |                      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST        |                      | 3763                 |                         |                         |  |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-3021    |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/20/2000 | DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| d  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |
|--|---|--|---|
|  | 09/768,196  | LEBEL ET AL.   |   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|  | Matthew F. DeSanto  | 3763   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply  | ion appears on the cover shee   | t with the correspondence address  |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | LING DATE OF THIS COMMU<br>7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma<br>ation.<br>ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I<br>by statute, cause the application to becom | INICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |   |
| Status   |   |  |   |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed of the case | This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal management   | • •  |   |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |   |
| 4) Claim(s) 6-29 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 6-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction.  Application Papers  | vithdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E  | xaminer.  |  |   |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   | · · · · · ·   | •  |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection   | - · ·   |  | • |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by   | · ·   |  |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for  | cuments have been received.<br>cuments have been received i<br>he priority documents have be<br>Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | n Application No een received in this National Stage   |   |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | .948) Paper   | ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 6 10, and 12 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tune et al. USPN 5,630,710, and further in view of Goedeke (USPN 5,904,708) and Moon et al. (USPN 6,211,858).

Tune et al. discloses a medical system, comprising an ambulatory medical device (MD) [Ref. # 10] comprising MD electronic control circuitry (546) that further comprises at least one MD telemetry system (562, 564, 566) and at least one MD processor (542) that controls, at least in part, operation of the MD telemetry system and

Art Unit: 3763

Page 3

operation of the medical device, wherein the medical device is configured to provide a treatment to a body of a patient or to monitor a selected state of the body; and b) a communication device (CD) [Ref. # 952] comprising CD electronic control circuitry that further comprises at least one CD telemetry system and at least one CD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the CD telemetry system and operation of the communication device, wherein the CD telemetry system sends messages to or receives messages from the MD telemetry system, wherein the medical device is comprises an infusion pump (10), and wherein the CD display device is controlled to show a plurality of infusion parameters simultaneously, and wherein a first portion of the MD telemetry system is incorporated into the MD processor and a second portion of the MD telemetry system is external to the MD processor, or wherein a first portion of the CD telemetry system is incorporated into the CD processor and a second portion of the CD telemetry system is external to the CD processor, wherein (1) the MD electronic control circuitry comprises at least one external MD functional module, other than the second portion of the MD telemetry system, that is external to the MD processor, (2) the CD electronic control circuitry comprises at least one external CD functional module, other than the second portion of the CD telemetry system, that is external to the CD processor, (3) the MD processor comprises an internal MD CPU and at least one other internal MD functional module, or (4) the CD processor comprises an internal CD CPU and at least one other internal CD functional module. (Figures 2,25-30,32-41, and entire reference).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,196

**Art Unit: 3763** 

Tune et al. also discloses the communication device with a CD display controlled by at least one CD processor for providing visual feedback to the patient, and wherein the feedback comprises a display of the quantity of a consumable estimated to be remaining in the system (512), wherein the consumable is a drug, and where the medical device wherein infusion parameters can be selected, and where the patient can program (28) there own options into the pump. (Column 3, lines 29-47), but fails to disclose wherein the telemetry device uses RF signals and the specific interactions that occur when using a cascading interface.

Goedeke discloses the use of an implantable pump with telemetry components, wherein the telemetry used is RF telemetry that is well known in the medical device art.

Moon et al. discloses the working interface of a PDA and how this user interface is user-friendly and can be customize and personalized by using various screens and windows (Figures 3-6, Column 1, line 56-63 and Column 12-29).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosed invention of Tune et al. with the teachings of Goedeke and Moon et al. because it is well known to use RF telemetry with implantable medical devices or any medical devices that communicate, through telemetry, as stated in the Goedeke (See Column 1, lines 40 to Column 2, line 6), therefore this would have been an obvious modification; with regards to changing the interface to a more user-friendly interface is a well known concept in the PDA, and computer art. One of ordinary skill would want to delete any unused or unwanted options on the screen since this "clogs" the screen, therefore it would have been obvious to incorporate the teachings of Moon

Art Unit: 3763

et al. and the cascading interface to help make the screen more user friendly (Moon et all. Column 2, lines 29-53).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tune et al. in view of Goedeke and further in view of Moon et al. as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Er (USPN 6185461).

Tune et al. in combination with Goedeke and Moon et al. disclosed the claimed invention except wherein the consumable is either (1) battery power remained in a replaceable CD battery in the communication device and a voltage level on the CD battery is graphically depicted with a desired resolution, or (2) battery power remaining in an MD battery in the medical device and a voltage level on the battery is graphically depicted with a desired resolution.

Er discloses a controlled system where the display, displays the battery data and battery longevity estimate graph (Figure 1 and 2 and entire reference).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art to combine Tune et al. and Goedeke medical infusion device with Er replacement time indicator device and display, because according to Er, it is highly desirable to predict when a battery will failure so as to make arrangements for the replacement battery. (Column 2, lines 1-9).

5. Claims 6-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey, III et al. (USPN 6,641,533) in view of Moon et al. (USPN 6,211,858).

Application/Control Number: 09/768,196

Art Unit: 3763

Causey, III et al. discloses a MD electronic control circuitry, that further comprises at least one MD telemetry system, and at least one MD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the MD telemetry system and operation of the medical device, wherein the medical device is configured to provide a treatment to a body of a patient or to monitor a selected state of the body; and b) a communication device (CD) comprising CD electronic control circuitry that further comprises at least one CD telemetry system and at least one CD processor that controls, at least in part, operation of the CD telemetry system and operation of the communication device, wherein the CD telemetry system sends messages to or receives messages from the MD telemetry system (Figures 2, 5, 7, 22, 24 and entire reference), but fails to disclose a display screen that displays the drug estimated to be remaining in a reservoir, the batter power remaining, the time-of-day indicator and finally the battery indicator.

Moon et al. discloses the working interface of a PDA and how this user interface is user-friendly and can be customize and personalized by using various screens and windows (Figures 3-6, Column 1, line 56-63 and Column 12-29).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosed invention of Causey, III et al. with the teachings of Moon et al. because this would have been an obvious modification to make a more user friendly interface. Therefore one of ordinary skill would want to incorporate this concept of deleting any unused or unwanted options on the screen into the PDA of Causey et al. since the unused options "clog" the screen, therefore it would have been obvious to incorporate the teachings of Moon et al. and the cascading interface to help make the

screen more user friendly (Moon et all. Column 2, lines 29-53). The examiner would like to note, that it would be an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosed invention of Causey, III et al. to include these display options because it is well known in the medical field and pump art to incorporate these options when dealing with a display on a pump and/or remote device controlling the pump to make the overall operating procedure by the patient or medical personnel easier. (This can be seen in the other references used in this office action [Tune et al., Goedeke, and Er]) since the concept of enabling and disabling is being taught by the Moon et al. reference it would only take routine skill in the software industry to have a PDA include medical device options.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-29 have been considered but are most because of the new grounds of rejections.
- 7. The examiner has found a new reference and uses this to show the level of skill in the PDA and graphic user interface art.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/768,196

**Art Unit: 3763** 

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

April 13, 2006

NICKOLAS D. LUCCNESI SUPERNISCRY PATERT EXAMPER TOCKOLO NO CITATA 37CD